

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/784,968

Applicant(s)

COLMAN ET AL.

Examiner

BENJAMIN S. FIELDS

Art Unit

3692

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

TC(1) BENJAMIN S. FIELDS.(3) THOMAS CORRADO (Registration #: 42439).(2) HARISH T. DASS (PRIMARY EXAMINER).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,45 and 89-94.Identification of prior art discussed: Mahoney (US Pat. No. 7,287,008).Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Harish T. Dass

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney of record, Thomas Corrado, Reg. # 42439, held an in person interview with Primary Examiner Harish Dass and I on 20 March 2008 at 10AM. The following summary of events took place:

A. Examiner Fields maintains that Claims 1 and 45 will be in compliance w/U.S.C. 101 upon removal of the dependency on Claims 89 and 90.

B. Examiner Fields maintains that the Applicant will either amend or cancel Claims 89-94 to better reflect a computer readable media, not a carrier signal as presently recited.

C. Examiner Fields maintains, as reflected by the Mr. Corrado, that there has been no motivation shown for the present U.S.C. 103 rejections.